Detailed Project Report of Wainganga (Gosikhurd) – Nalganga (Purna Tapi) link project CHECK LIST

| I | GENERAL DATA | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1 | Name of the project | Wainganga (Gosikhurd) – Nalganga (Purna Tapi) link project | |
| 2 | Location | Latitudes of 20 ^o 24' to 21 ^o 05' N and longitudes of 76 ^o 10' to 79 ^o 40' E | |
| a | State (s) | Maharashtra | |
| b | District (s) | Bhandara, Nagpur, Wardha, Amravati, Yeotmal, Washim, Akola & Buldhana | |
| С | Taluka (s) | Bhandara (Pauni) | |
| | | Nagpur (Kuhi, Umred, Hingna, Nagpur), Wardha (Seloo, Arvi, Wardha) | |
| | | Amravati (Dhamanga | on, Nandgaon Kh.) |
| | | Yeotmal (Babhulgaor | n, Ner) |
| | | Washim (Karanja) | |
| | Akola (Barshi Takli, Akola) | | Akola) |
| | | Buldhana (Shegaon, Motala) | |
| d | Location of Head works | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) |
| | (1) Gosikhurd dam | 20 ⁰ 52' 15" | 79 ⁰ 37' 00" |
| | (2) Lower Wardha dam | 20 ⁰ 52' 30" | 78° 15' 30" |
| | (3) Katepurna dam | 20° 28' 53" | 77° 09' 24" |
| | (4) Nalganga dam | 20° 45' 00" | 76 ⁰ 11' 00" |
| | | to 20 ⁰ 53' 00" | to 76° 20' 00" |
| e | Survey of India Topo-sheets | 55P/9,P/5,O/8,O/4,P/1,L/13,L/9,L/5,L/1, | |
| | 1:50,000 Scale | H/13,H/10,H/9,H/6,H/2,H/3,D/14,D/15, | |
| f | Earthquake Zone | D/11,D/10,D/6,D/2 The project lies in Seismic Zones- I & II | |
| 1 | Burtiquake Zone | as per the zoning map of India (IS 1897 – | |
| | | 1984) | 5 51 Maia (15 10)/ |
| g | Complete address for | 1. Superintending Eng | gineer, |
| | correspondence along with | National Water Deve | |

| | pin code/e-mail | Floor, Mahatma Gandhi Markfed Bhavan, 5-2-68, JamBagh, Hyderabad. Telangana 500001 Ph: 040-24601209 Fax: 040-24601207 Email: sehyd-nwda@nic.in 2. Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Projects Investigation Circle, Sinchan Seva Bhawan, 3 rd Floor, Civil Lines, Nagpur. Maharashtra 440001 Tele-fax: 0712-2555274 Email: seipicngp@gmail.com |
|----|--|--|
| 3 | Category of the project | |
| a | Irrigation or Multipurpose | Multipurpose |
| b | Storage or diversion | Storage |
| II | PLANNING | |
| 4 | Has the Master plan for overall development of the river basin been prepared and stages of basin development discussed? | This is an intra-state link being taken up duly as per the water allocation to the state of Maharashtra upto Gosikhurd in Pranhita sub-basin of Godavari basin by Godavari Water Disputes Tribunal (GWDT) envisaging water transfer from Godavari basin to Tapi basin within the State. |
| 5 | Have the alternative proposals (including set of smaller developments vis-àvis a single large development) been studied and their merits and demerits discussed? | storages have been proposed in the command area as supplementary storages to Gosikhurd, the aspects of which have been discussed. |
| 6 | Does the scheme fit in the overall development of the river basin and its priority in the overall development of | Yes |

| | the basin discussed? | |
|-----|---|---|
| 7 | Have the other Departments concerned with the development been informed? | Yes |
| 8 | Is the present scheme proposed to be executed in stages? If so, are its various stages of execution and development discussed in the report? | Yes |
| 9 | Are the effects of the scheme on the riparian rights & existing upstream and downstream projects, etc. discussed? | water allocation to Maharashtra in |
| 10 | Has the provision for municipal and industrial water supply been made? | Yes. |
| III | INTERSTATE AND INTER | NATIONAL ASPECTS |
| 11 | Are there any International / Interstate issues involved? If so, have these issues been identified and present status of agreement or tribunal decision indicated specially in respect of this project. | No. The scheme is taken up as per the water allocation upto Gosikhurd in Pranhita sub-basin to Maharashtra by GWDT. All the storages and the link project are proposed within the State. As such, no inter-state issues are involved. |

| a | Sharing of water | There no such issue as sharing of water, |
|--------------|---|--|
| b | Sharing of cost | benefits or cost. |
| | | |
| С | Sharing of benefits | |
| | (irrigation, flood control, | |
| | power etc.) | |
| d | Acceptance of the | The entire project area lies in Maharashtra |
| | submergence by the upstream | state. |
| | State(s) | |
| e | Acceptance by the upstream | |
| | State(s) of compensation of | |
| | land coming under | |
| | submergence. | |
| f | Settlement of oustees | R&R package for PAFs will be evolved |
| | | keeping in view the provisions of Land |
| | | Acquisition, Rehabilitation and |
| | | Resettlement Act-2013 after CEIA study |
| | | by the State of Maharashtra. |
| | | by the state of managana. |
| IV | SURVEYS | oy the State of Managashia. |
| IV 12 | SURVEYS Have the detailed | oy the State of Managashita. |
| | | oy the State of Managashita. |
| | Have the detailed | of the State of Managashita. |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been | of the State of Managashita. |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following | of the State of Managashita. |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as | To be carried out for enroute storages at |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? | |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys | To be carried out for enroute storages at |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys (c) Head-works surveys | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys (c) Head-works surveys (dam, dykes, barrages, weirs, | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys (c) Head-works surveys (dam, dykes, barrages, weirs, etc., and auxiliary | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys (c) Head-works surveys (dam, dykes, barrages, weirs, etc., and auxiliary components) | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage All are existing projects. Not required To be carried out at pre-construction stage. Yes. Surveys for main link canal were |
| | Have the detailed topographical surveys been carried out for the following items and maps prepared as per prescribed scales? (a) River surveys (b) Reservoir surveys (c) Head-works surveys (dam, dykes, barrages, weirs, etc., and auxiliary components) (d) Plant and Colonies sites | To be carried out for enroute storages at pre-construction stage All are existing projects. Not required To be carried out at pre-construction stage. |

| (f) Major con al atmestures | Report. Surveys for branch canals and water distribution system shall be carried out at pre-construction stage during detailed surveys for the enroute storages. |
|--|--|
| (f) Major canal structures | Yes. Please Refer para 4.1.5 of Chapter-4 Volume I-Main Report. |
| (g) Power house, switch-yard, surge shafts, tailrace(h) Tunnel(s), adits, penstocks, etc. | No power generation component is proposed. Hence, not required |
| (i) Surveys(detailed and sample) of areas of the command for OFD and drainage works | To be carried out at pre-construction stage along with detailed topographical surveys for enroute storages. |
| (j) Soil surveys (k) Surveys for soil conservation | Soil description given as per the maps obtained from NBSS&LUP, Nagpur. Please refer para 4.2.6 of Chapter-4 Volume I-Main Report. Detailed soil surveys are be carried out at preconstruction stage. |
| (l) Any other surveys a)Archaeological, | Yes. Carried out for link canal. Please refer para 4.2.1 of Chapter-4 Volume I-Main Report. For enroute storages, to be carried out at pre-construction stage. |
| b)Mineral survey | Yes. Carried out for link canal. Please refer para 4.2.2 of Chapter-4 Volume I-Main Report. For enroute storages, to be carried out at pre-construction stage. |
| c)Right of way survey | In respect of enroute storages/tanks, surveys as required will be carried out at pre-construction stage. |
| d)Communication survey | Yes. Please refer Chapter-4.2.4 of Volume I-Main Report. |

| V | GEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS | |
|-----|--|--|
| 13 | Have the geological surveys | <u> </u> |
| | for the following items been | of Volume I-Main Report. |
| | carried out and report on | |
| | geology of the following | |
| | appended? | |
| a | Region as whole | Yes, Please refer para 4.3.1 of Chapter 4 |
| | | of Volume I-Main Report. |
| b | Reservoir(Local Geology) | In respect of enroute storages, to be |
| | | carried out at pre-construction stage |
| c | Head works and energy | Not required as all are existing projects. |
| | dissipation area | |
| d | Power house and | No power generation component is |
| | appurtenances | proposed. Hence, not required |
| e | Intakes and regulators | Yes. Please refer para 4.3.3 of Chapter 4 |
| f | Major canal structures | of Volume I-Main Report. |
| g | Tunnel(s), Penstock(s), | |
| | hill(s), etc. | |
| h | Communication routes | To be carried out. |
| VI | SEISMIC INVESTIGATION | |
| 14 | Has the Seismicity of the | |
| | region been studied and co- | |
| | efficient of vertical / | project, utilising Lower Wardha and |
| | horizontal acceleration for | |
| | the various structures | |
| 1.5 | discussed? | reservoirs are existing reservoirs and no |
| 15 | Has the approval of the | |
| | National Committee for | · · |
| | recommending design of | _ |
| | seismic co-efficients for | |
| | River Valley Projects (NCSDP) been obtained? | in the command area, such studies will |
| 16 | (NCSDP) been obtained? | · · |
| 16 | | be carried out at pre-construction stage. |
| | liquefaction of foundations? | |

| | If so whether liquefaction | |
|------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | studies been carried out? | |
| VII | FOUNDATION INVESTIGATI | ONS |
| 17 | Have the detailed foundation | 1 |
| | investigations (including in-situ | 1 |
| | tests and laboratory tests) for the | |
| | following structures been carried | 1 |
| | out and detailed report(s | |
| | appended? | |
| a | Earth and rock fil | l In respect of storages/tanks |
| | dam/barrage/weir.etc | proposed enroute, such |
| b | Masonry/concrete dam/weir, etc. | investigations will be carried out at |
| | | pre-construction stage. |
| С | Barrage(s)/Weir(s)//head | |
| | regulator(s) etc | |
| d | Canal(s) & Canal Structures | Yes. Please refer 4.4.3 of Chapter 4 |
| | | of Volume I-Main Report. |
| e | Power house(s), tunnel(s) | , No power generation component is |
| | transformer cavern(s), desilting | g proposed. Hence, not required |
| | chamber(s), surge tank(s) | / |
| | shaft(s), intake(s) canal structures | , |
| | etc. | |
| 18 | Are there any special feature | s No |
| | affecting the designs? | |
| VIII | CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | |
| 10 | SURVEY | |
| 19 | Have the surveys and laborator | |
| | tests for the following | |
| | construction materials been | |
| | carried out and report(s | ' |
| a | appended? Soils for impervious, semi | - The head works for the link canal |
| a | pervious and pervious zones o | |
| | pervious and pervious zones o | are an existing projects. Hence not |

| | earth and rock-fill dam(s) | required. In case of enroute storages/tanks, such surveys will be carried out at pre-construction stage. |
|----|--|---|
| b | Sand | Yes, Please refer para 4.5.2 of Chapter 4 of Volume I-Main Report. |
| С | Rock and coarse aggregate | Yes, Please refer para 4.5.1 of Chapter 4 of Volume I-Main Report. |
| d | Bricks and tiles | Available locally in the vicinity of the project area |
| e | Pozzolona | Not considered to be used in the construction of the project |
| f | Cement and lime stone | Required cement for construction of the project is available in project area. |
| g | Steel | To be procured from the plants |
| | | located within Vidarbha region |
| h | Any other | - |
| 20 | Have the sources for each of the above material been identified and need etc. indicated? | Yes, Please refer para 4.5 of Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main Report |
| 21 | Have the proposals for procurement of scarce materials been indicated? | No scarce materials are anticipated to be used in the project which may cause hindrance to the construction of the project. |
| IX | HYDROLOGICAL AND METEO | OROLOGICAL |
| | INVESTIGATIONS | |
| 22 | , , | nd en ng |
| i | Rainfall | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.1 of Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |

| | | Report |
|------|--|---------------------------------|
| ii | Temperature | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.5 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| iii | Sunshine | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.2 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| iv | Gauge & Discharge | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.1 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| V | Sediment | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.6 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| vi | Water quality | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.7 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report and Chapter-7. |
| vii | Evaporation | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.8 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| viii | Wind speed | Yes, Please refer para 4.6.3 of |
| | | Chapter 4 of Volume-I Main |
| | | Report |
| | (b) Has the above data been collected | Yes, collected. |
| | & appended ? | |
| X | HYDROLOGY | |
| 23 | Is the hydrology dealt with in details | No separate volume. Details are |
| | in a separate volume? Have its brief | furnished in Chapter–5 of |
| | details been included in this report? | Volume-I Main Report |
| 24 | Have an index map and bar chart | Yes, Please refer Chapter-5 of |
| | showing locations of various hydro- | Volume-I Main Report |
| | metric, climatic and rainfall stations | |
| | existing/ongoing/planned water | |
| | resources projects and the data | |
| | availability at those stations been | |

| | attached? | |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 25 | Have required detail note about | Yes, Please refer Chapter-5 of |
| | project specific hydro-meteorological | Volume-I Main Report |
| | data observatories been attached? | |
| 26 | Have required detail in case of | Not Applicable |
| | Himalayan rivers, if project being | |
| | planned in upper reaches, the satellite | |
| | imageries of project catchment | |
| | especially one during snowmelt | |
| | period(March-may) and one during | |
| | monsoon(June-September) period | |
| | been attached? | |
| 27 | Are details notes about quality, | Yes, Please refer Chapter-5 of |
| | consistency, processing and gap | Volume-I Main Report |
| | filling of the data included | |
| | | |
| 28 | Have hydrological studies been | |
| | carried out for the following: | |
| a | To establish the availability of water | _ |
| | for the benefits envisaged. | Volume-I Main Report |
| b | To determine design flood for the | _ |
| | various structures (Spillway, weir, | studies will be carried out at |
| | barrage, etc.) | pre-construction stage. |
| c | Sediments storage | |
| d | Design flood for diversion during | |
| | construction | |
| e | Tail water rating curve | Not applicable |
| f | Evaporation rates from | Yes, data collected. Please refer |
| | reservoirs/concerned area | paras 4.6.8 of Chapter-4 and |
| | | 8.4.5 of Chapter-8 in Volume- |
| | | I-Main Report |
| g | Command area rainfall | Yes. Please refer paras 8.2.1.1, |
| | | 8.2.1.2 & 8.4.1 of Chapter-8 of |
| | | Volume-I Main Report |

| 29 | Has the Ground Water Potential (existing use and additional availability) been indicated? | Yes. Please refer para 8.12.1.9 of Chapter-8 of Volume-I Main Report |
|----|---|---|
| 30 | Have the studies regarding reservoir sedimentation been carried out and revised Elevation-Area Capacity curves been used in the simulation studies? (Working Table)? | Simulation for existing Gosikhurd reservoir has been carried out considering Elevation-Area Capacity values after 50 years of sedimentation. Please refer paras 5.8.2 & 5.9 of Chapter-5 of Volume-I Main Report |
| 31 | Have the ecological requirements of water such as low flow augmentation and water quality control etc. and water requirement for domestic, industrial use and power generation (thermal, hydel, nuclear) been considered and included in the Project Report and incorporated in the simulation studies? | Since, water are being proposed for diversion through the link project only during the monsoon period and also several streams are joining the Wainganga river d/s of Gosikhurd reservoir, no adverse effect on account of link diversion is anticipated. As such, no ecological requirements are considered. The water requirements of Gosikhurd as per the project authority are duly considered along with proposed link diversion in the simulation studies. Refer para 5.9 of Chapter-5 of Volume-I Main Report. |
| 32 | Have the details of the simulation studies (Working Table) and conclusions arrived from the various alternatives explaining the factors and | Yes. Please refer para 5.9 of Chapter-5 of Volume-I Main Report. |

| | assumptions been included and discussed? | |
|----|---|--|
| 33 | Have the number of failures for different aspects been indicated? | |
| 34 | Have the likely desirable and undesirable changes in the hydrologic regime due to the project been brought out in the report? | No adverse effect on downstream hydrologic regime is anticipated since diversion of water is proposed out of available spills at Gosikhurd during monsoon only. Please refer para 5.15 of Chapter-5 of Volume I-Main Report |
| 35 | Is the criteria adopted for selection of the construction diversion flood discussed? | Not Applicable. Gosikhurd is existing reservoir. |
| 36 | Has the basis for determining the storage capacity been discussed? | Not Applicable. Gosikhurd is existing reservoir |
| 37 | Have integrated working tables (for more than one reservoir in the system) been prepared? | Simulation for only Gosikhurd reservoir has been carried out. |
| 38 | Has carry over storage been prepared? If so, whether studies for most economic carry over storage been done? | Not applicable. Gosikhurd is existing reservoir |
| 39 | Have the flood routing studies been carried out? | Not applicable. Gosikhurd is existing reservoir. |
| 40 | Have the back water studies been carried out? | Not applicable. Gosikhurd is existing reservoir. |
| XI | LAND ACQUISITION AND RESET | TLEMENT OF OUSTEES |
| 41 | Have the type and quantum of land proposed to be acquired in the submerged area, project area, area coming under canal and distribution system, area required for | Volume-I Main Report. This |

| | rehabilitation of the oustees been detailed? | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|
| 42 | Is the basis for provision for land | Yes, Please refer Chapter- 13 of |
| | compensation indicated? | Volume-I Main Report |
| 43 | Have the rehabilitation measures, | Yes, rehabilitation measures, |
| | amenities and facilities to be provided | amenities and facilities to be |
| | to the Project Affected Persons been | provided to the Project Affected |
| | discussed and whether their | Persons been discussed and LS |
| | provisions included in the report? Are | provisions in anticipation of |
| | these in accordance State's | CEIA study are made. The cost |
| | policy/project specific policy/draft | of enroute storages has been |
| | national policy for rehabilitation and | estimated based on unit storage |
| | resettlement? | cost of ongoing projects in the |
| | | vicinity, which includes the |
| | | provisions for all the above. |
| | | Please refer Chapters- 12 &13 |
| | | of Volume-I Main Report |
| 44 | Are the basis of land acquisition of | Yes, Please refer Chapters- 11 |
| | the submerged area upto FRL/MWL | & 12 of Volume-I Main Report |
| **** | etc. discussed? | |
| XII | DESIGNS | |
| 45 | Does the State have established a | |
| | Central Design Organisation and | NWDA. Not applicable. |
| | State level multidisciplinary Advisory | |
| | Committee and whether its | |
| | composition has been indicated in the | |
| 1.0 | report | Nick could call a All band could |
| 46 | Has the selection of final location of | 11 |
| | the head works and appurtenances, in preference to the other sites | are existing storages. |
| | 1 | |
| 47 | investigated, been discussed? Have the layout of the project viz., | Yes. Locations of Head works |
| 4/ | location of head works, workshop | have been finalised. |
| | nocation of fical works, workshop | nave been manseu. |
| | sheds, offices, colonies, etc. been | |

| | finalized and discussed? | |
|----|---|----------------------------------|
| 48 | Has the layout of the various major | All head works are existing |
| | components of the head works been | storages. |
| | discussed in the light of site feature, | |
| | geology, and foundation | |
| | characteristics, etc. | |
| 49 | Have the detailed designs been | Designs of various components |
| | prepared for the following | of the project have been carried |
| | components and got vetted by CDO? | out by NWDA. |
| a | Earth or rock fill dam, masonry or | For enroute storages, designs |
| | concrete dam, spillway, barrage, weir, | shall be carried out at pre- |
| | etc. and appurtenances. | construction stage as necessary |
| b | Energy dissipation arrangements, | |
| | training wells, etc. | |
| С | Openings through dam-galleries, head | |
| | regulators, penstocks, other outlets, | |
| | sluices, etc. | |
| d | Regulators | Yes, Please refer Chapter-6 of |
| e | Canal and water conductor system | Volume-I Main Report. |
| f | Canal structures | |
| g | Pump house, intake structures | |
| h | Power house, tunnels, surge shaft | Yes –Designs for tunnels along |
| | | the canal alignment are carried |
| | | out. Please refer Chapter-6 of |
| | | Volume-I Main Report. |
| i | Instrumentation | For enroute storages, shall be |
| | | taken up at pre-construction |
| | | stage as necessary |
| j | Power evacuation arrangement | Power evacuation studies to be |
| | | carried out at pre-construction |
| | | stage for the solar power |
| | | component planned on canal |
| | | top/bank. |
| | | |

| k | Design of Hydro Mechanical | _ |
|----|---|--|
| | equipments | Volume-I Main Report. |
| 50 | Have the salient features of the above components and the assumptions made in the design of above components of the project been indicated and basis of assumption discussed? | 1 |
| 51 | Have any model studies been carried out for location of the dam, spillway and other appurtenances checking the design profile of the spillway, energy dissipation arrangements, location of outlets/ regulators, etc? | If necessary, for enroute storages, model studies will be conducted at pre-construction stage. |
| 52 | Has the final alignment of canal(s) and branch canal(s) been discussed in the light of various alignments studied? | Yes, discussed for main canal. |
| a. | Does the canal design provide for meeting requirements of rush irrigation? | No. Since the link diversion is planned mostly from the spills as available at Gosikhurd and is not according to the water demands in the command area, additional capacity towards rush irrigation is not considered. |
| b. | Have any intermediate storages and tail tanks been considered to reduce the canal capacities? | |
| 53 | Are the canals and distribution system being lined and if so what is the minimum capacity of the channel proposed to be lined? | Main and branch canals are proposed to be lined. The minimum capacity of main link canal at tail end is 10.7 cumec. |

| 54 | Is the location of canal structures on | Yes. Fixed after detailed |
|------|---|---------------------------------|
| | main and branch canals fixed after | surveys for main canal. For |
| | detailed surveys of the final | branch canals, locations of |
| | alignments? | canal structures to be fixed at |
| | | pre-construction stage. |
| 55 | Are the regulation arrangements of | Yes |
| | the off-taking channel both near and | |
| | away from the cross regulators | |
| | discussed? | |
| 56 | Are sufficient escapes including | Yes. provided for main canal. |
| | terminal escapes provided on the | |
| | main/branch canal | |
| | distributaries/minors? | |
| 57 | Have the basis for adopting water | Yes |
| | way for the cross drainage works | |
| | been discussed? | |
| 58 | Have the proposals for rating the | No |
| | canal section by providing standing | |
| | wave flumes, rating of the falls, broad | |
| | crested weirs. V-notches etc. been | |
| | discussed for the canal and | |
| | distribution system? | |
| 59 | Have any model studies for major | No |
| | canal structure(s) been carried out and | |
| | if so, are the results discussed and | |
| | incorporated in the design? | |
| XIII | IRRIGATION AND COMMAND AI | REA DEVELOPMENT |
| 60 | Have the conveyance and field | Yes. Refer para 8.6 of Chapter- |
| | irrigation efficiencies for paddy and | 8 of Volume-I Main Report |
| | upland crops during Kharif, rabi, etc. | |
| | been indicated, discussed and | |
| | justified? | |
| 61 | Have the 10-daily/monthly Crop | Yes, Monthly crop water |
| | water requirements at the canal head | requirements are worked out. |

| | been worked out? | |
|----|--|----------------------------------|
| 62 | Are there any proposals for | Not proposed now. These shall |
| | introducing Warabandi and if so have | be finalised subsequently. |
| | these proposals been discussed in the | |
| | report and sample calculations for a | |
| | typical distributary / minor / sub- | |
| | minor furnished? | |
| 63 | Has the present position of irrigation | Yes |
| | in the command through existing | |
| | canals, tanks, lift schemes, wells, etc. | |
| | been brought out in the report? | |
| 64 | Are the particulars of all irrigation | Yes |
| | projects (including minor schemes) | |
| | existing/proposed in the command | |
| | been indicated? | |
| 65 | Are there potential areas, where | Yes, Please refer para 11.4.2.2 |
| | ground water is available? If so, has | of Chapter-11 of Volume-I |
| | the quantity & quality of the ground | Main Report |
| | water been indicated? | |
| 66 | Has the quantum of available ground | Yes, Ground water potential is |
| | water been assessed and plan for its | given at Table 11.6 of Chapter- |
| | conjunctive use with surface water | 11 of Volume-I Main Report. |
| | been prepared and incorporated in the | Conjunctive use is not |
| | report? | incorporated in the DPR, but its |
| | | scope is mentioned. |
| 67 | Have the semi-detailed soil surveys | No. Shall be carried out at pre- |
| | been carried out for the entire | construction stage. |
| | command? If not the extent of area | |
| | surveyed may be indicated. | |
| 68 | Have soil and land irrigability | _ |
| | classifications brought out in the | 8.3.2 of Chapter-8 Volume-I |
| | report? | Main Report |
| 69 | Is the method used for determining | _ |
| | the crop water requirements | Chapter -8 of Volume-I Main |

| | discussed? | Report. |
|-----|--|---|
| 70 | Has the pre-project cropping pattern and the proposed cropping pattern | Yes |
| | along with justification been | |
| | furnished? | |
| 71 | Has the proposed cropping pattern been certified by Centre/State | adopted is on the lines of |
| | Agricultural Authorities giving the Statement of having considered the soil characteristics and land | approved cropping pattern by State Agricultural Department. |
| | irrigability characteristics of the | |
| | command area in deciding the | |
| | percentage of the command area | |
| | falling under respective crops as | |
| | suggested in DPR? | |
| 72 | Whether drinking water needs of the | Yes. Drinking water needs of |
| | population projected for the 25-30 | the population in the command |
| | years after construction of the project | area is projected to 2050 AD. |
| | on enroute and that in the command | |
| 73 | of the project considered? | No C.W. utilization is planned |
| /3 | Whether the proposed G.W utilisation is certified by CGWB and a statement | _ |
| | furnished | in the proposar. |
| 74 | Are the areas and percentages of the | Yes |
| , . | CCA that will be irrigated during | |
| | kharif, rabi, two seasonal, summer | |
| | and perennial been indicated? | |
| 75 | Is justification furnished for irrigating | Yes. These constitute minimal |
| | perennials and summer crops from the | percentage. |
| | reservoir? | |
| 76 | Have the monthly reservoir operation | Yes. Ten daily simulation of |
| | studies been carried out at least for 20 | Gosikhurd reservoir for 45 |
| | years and summary on annual basis | years (1970-71 to 2014-15) has |
| | attached? | been carried out. Please refer |

| | | Annexures 5.39 & 5.39.1 to 5.39.45 of Volume-II Annexures |
|----|--|---|
| 77 | Harris the growth was filled as a least of | |
| 77 | Have the number of blocks selected | No. Proposed to be carried out |
| | for detailed surveys for On Farm | at pre-construction stage. |
| | Development (OFD) works including | |
| | drainage and total area covered by | |
| 70 | such blocks been indicated? | V. |
| 78 | Have the existing locations of the | Yes |
| | Trial cum Demonstration Farm, input | |
| | centres (seeds, fertilizer and | |
| | insecticides) in the command been | |
| | indicated and proposal to strengthen | |
| 70 | the same discussed? | NI- |
| 79 | Have the arrangements for financing | No |
| | the OFD works and proposals if any, | |
| | for strengthening the same been discussed? | |
| 80 | Have the agencies responsible for | No. Will be identified by Goyt |
| 80 | execution of the OFD works been | of Maharashtra at pre- |
| | identified and simultaneous planning | construction stage. |
| | of execution of OFD works along | construction stage. |
| | with engineering works discussed? | |
| 81 | Has the year-wise phasing of | Yes |
| | irrigation development as a result of | |
| | the project been discussed? | |
| 82 | Is the existing communication system | Yes, Suitable provisions have |
| | telephone, wireless, and roads within | been kept in the estimate for |
| | command area sufficient to meet the | coping with the future |
| | requirement after full development of | development. |
| | irrigation? If not, have the new | _ |
| | proposals been planned and | |
| | discussed? | |

| 83 | Is the adequacy of the marketing centres in the Command Area and | Yes |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|
| | new proposals to meet the | |
| | requirements after full development of irrigation been discussed? | |
| 84 | Is there any stabilisation of existing | No. Only new command area is |
| | irrigation proposed? | proposed. |
| XIV | FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAG | |
| 85 | Have the various flood control | Not Applicable. All head works |
| | components of the multipurpose | are existing projects |
| | project been indicated? | |
| 86 | Have the damage areas in pre-project | Not Applicable |
| | & post project situations been | |
| | identified and flood intensities | |
| | worked out at each of the damage | |
| 07 | centre(s) which gets affected? | A11 1 1 1 · · · |
| 87 | Have the following flood aspects been discussed? | All head works are existing |
| 0 | Flood cushion in the reservoir | projects Not Applicable |
| b | Maximum moderated flood out flows | Not Applicable Not Applicable |
| U | over the spillway etc. and its | Not Applicable |
| | frequency | |
| С | Existing and proposed safe carrying | Not Applicable |
| | capacities of the channel below the | PF |
| | dam after construction of flood | |
| | embankment, channel improvement, | |
| | river diversion, etc. | |
| d | Synchronized moderated peak floods | Not Applicable |
| | due to release(s) from the dam | |
| | upstream and unintercepted | |
| | catchment upto the damage centers | |
| e | Average annual expenditure incurred | Not Applicable |
| | on flood relief works | |
| | | |

| f | Area and population affected/likely to | Not Applicable |
|----|--|----------------------------------|
| | be affected before/after the project | |
| g | Estimated saving in annual loss of | Not Applicable |
| | life, property, cattle, crops, etc. | |
| | (evaluated in terms of money) due to | |
| | flood control. | |
| 88 | Have the following drainage aspects | |
| | of command area been discussed? | |
| a | Existing Surface and sub-surface | Yes |
| | drainage network and problems of the | |
| | drainage congestion, water logging, | |
| | alkalinity/salinity, if any. | |
| b | Studies on sub soil water table (pre- | Yes. As per CGWB documents |
| | monsoon, post-monsoon etc.) | |
| С | Maximum intensity of 1,2 & 3 day | No |
| | rainfall | |
| d | Deficiencies in farm drains | No |
| e | Deficiencies in existing natural drains | No |
| f | Proposal for improvement of drainage | Yes, suitable provision has been |
| | / water logging/alkalinity/salinity of | made in the cost estimate. |
| | the area along with justification thereof. | |
| g | Identification of the area in Command | No |
| 5 | which will get benefited due to | |
| | execution of drainage network and | |
| | benefits thereof in terms of relief | |
| | from crop damage, increased yields, | |
| XV | etc. NAVIGATION | |
| 89 | Is the present scheme for remodeling | Navigation aspect is not |
| 03 | of the existing facilities and /or | planned in the project proposal. |
| | extension of the navigable reach or | pramied in the project proposar. |
| | establishing new navigable reach? | |
| 90 | Is the existing inland transport system | Not Applicable |
| 90 | being fully utilized? If not, have the | Two Applicable |
| I | Tooms runy unitzed: If not, nave the | |

| | bottlenecks in its full utilization been | |
|----|--|----------------------------------|
| | identified and discussed? | |
| 91 | Have the surveys for goods and | |
| | passenger traffic been carried out and | |
| | discussed? | |
| 92 | Is the extent of modification required | |
| | in the existing system discussed and | |
| | justified? | |
| 93 | Do design for the canal sections and | |
| | structures take into account the | |
| | navigation requirements? | |
| 94 | Have the proposals to develop the | |
| | new scheme and phases of | |
| | development in the different reaches | |
| | been discussed? | |
| 95 | If the area is being served by inland | The project area is not being |
| | water transport, have the following | served by inland water transport |
| | been discussed: | |
| a | The existing toll rates and registration | Not Applicable |
| | fees, for the crafts(size wise) | |
| b | Proposals for revision of tollage rates | |
| | and fees, if any | |
| c | Concurrence of the competent | |
| | authorities for revision of rates and | |
| | fees. | |
| d | Proposal to subsidize the tariff, | |
| | tollage, craft registration fee, | |
| | passenger fare, etc. to attract traffic. | |
| 96 | Has the State Inland Water Authority | Not Applicable |
| | been consulted while finalizing the | |
| | scheme and its view point discussed? | |
| 97 | Has economic justification and | Not Applicable |
| | viability of the navigation component | |
| | of the multipurpose project been | |

| | discussed? | |
|-----|--|---|
| XVI | POWER | |
| 98 | Have the following points been discussed? | |
| a | Availability of the power generating capacity in the State as well as in the region from different sources | _ |
| b | Total energy available and peaking capacity of the system in the State as well as in the region from different sources. | |
| С | Integrated operation of the system and present status of utilisation in the State as well as in the region. | |
| d | Surpluses and shortfalls in the system in the State as well as in the region. | |
| e | Future plans of power development from different sources in the State/region. | |
| f | Fitment of the scheme in planning of power development of the State/region | |
| g | Energy generated from the project, firm power; seasonal power and total power | |
| h | Proposal for transmission lines connecting to the existing system/grid | To be planned at pre- construction stage |
| i | Project cost per kwh installed and per kwh generated at bus bar as compared to the different hydroelectric, thermal generation and gas projects and different sources in the | Yes. |

| | State as well as in the region to justify | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|
| | the power component of the project. | |
| j | Whether the proposed addition to the | To be taken up at pre- |
| | transmission system has been shown | construction stage |
| | on a geographical map. Whether | |
| | options considered for the proposed | |
| | addition have been discussed with | |
| | statement of justification for the | |
| | selected option after carrying out | |
| | supporting studies covering load flow | |
| | studies, short-circuit studies (three | |
| | phase and single phase) and stability | |
| | studies | |
| XVII | CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME | AND PLANT AND |
| | MANPOWER PLANNING | |
| 99 | Are the major components of work | Through contractor |
| | proposed to be done departmentally | |
| | or through contractor? | |
| 100 | Have the various alternative | Yes |
| | construction programme been studied | |
| | and proper justification furnished for | |
| | the final programme adopted? | |
| 101 | Has the proposed construction | Yes |
| | programme been prepared and | |
| | synchronized for timely completion | |
| | of each of the major component of | |
| | work including Command Area | |
| | Development? | |
| 102 | Have the year wise quantities of the | |
| | following materials of construction | |
| | been worked out for various | |
| | components of the project? | |
| a | Excavation separately in – soft and | Total quantities of the required |
| | hard strata | materials have been worked out. |

| | semi-pervious and pervious | Volume-I Main Report |
|-------|--|--------------------------------|
| | | |
| | Rockfill- for dam, toe, riprap, etc. | |
| d | Stone for masonry | |
| e | Coarse aggregate for concrete | |
| f | Sand – for filter, masonry/ concrete | |
| g | Gravel – for filter | |
| h | Steel of various sizes and type | |
| i | Cement- normal, quick/slow setting | |
| | with or without pozzolana, special | |
| | types | |
| j | Lime –surkhi - pozzolana | |
| k | Scarce material – special steel | |
| 1 | Other material - fuel, electricity, | |
| | explosive, etc. | |
| 103 | Have the year wise quantities to be | Yes |
| | executed by machine/labour for each | |
| | of the major component been worked | |
| | out for each of the above material | |
| 104 | Have the labour intensive items of the | No |
| | various major components of the | |
| | project been identified and the | |
| | quantities of such items worked out? | |
| 105 | Have PERT chart or CPM diagrams | Yes. Bar Chart is prepared for |
| | for construction programme of | |
| | various components been made and | |
| | included in report? Has organization | |
| | set up and frequency for project | |
| | monitoring been indicated in the | |
| | Report. | |
| XVIII | FOREIGN EXCHANGE | |
| 106 | Have the details of the plant and | Not visualized as of now. Most |
| | machinery, spares, instruments and | of the requirements of plants |
| | scarce materials to be imported | and machinery, spares, |

| | worked out? | equipments / instruments, etc would be procured indigenously. |
|-----|--|--|
| 107 | Has the phasing of imports and source(s) of imports been discussed item wise? | No |
| 108 | Are the imports to be affected under foreign grants/credits or internal resources of the country? | Not Applicable |
| 109 | Is the scheme covered under State sector or Central sector | State sector |
| XIX | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| 110 | Has the concurrence of the State Finance Department been obtained? | Based on the DPR, WRD, Government of Maharashtra will obtain the concurrence of the State Finance Department. |
| 111 | Is the scheme included in the Five year/Annual plan? If not, what is the present position regarding its inclusion in the plan? | Not included. DPR is prepared. |
| 112 | Whether the scheme has already been started? If so, is the present stage of construction indicated? | Yet to be started |
| 113 | Have the year wise requirement of funds been indicated? | Yes, Please refer Chapter-10 of Volume-I Main Report |
| 114 | Is the scheme covered or proposed to be covered under any foreign assistance/aid agreement? | No |
| XX | ESTIMATE | |
| 115 | Is the separate volume of estimate attached as appendix? | No. Included as part of Volume-III Annexures & Volume-III Appendices. |
| 116 | Is the year to which the rates adopted in the estimate relate to indicated? | Yes, Please refer Chapter-13 of Volume-I Main Report |

| 117 | Have the analysis of rates for various | Yes, Please refer Chapter-13 of |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|
| | major items of work for the major | Volume-I Main Report |
| | components of the project been | 1 |
| | furnished and with basis of analysis | |
| | described? | |
| 118 | Are the provisions for the following | Provision is made on the basis |
| | items made on the basis of sample | of sample estimate for pipe |
| | survey and sub-estimates: | distribution network received |
| a | Distributaries, minor and sub-minors | from WRD, Govt. of |
| b | Water courses | Maharashtra. |
| С | Drainage | |
| d | CAD works | |
| XXI | REVENUES | |
| 119 | Are the basis for the following | |
| | sources of revenues furnished? | |
| a | Betterment levy and proposal for its | Not Applicable |
| | recovery | |
| b | Irrigation cess | Yes. Please refer para 13.2.2.2 |
| | | of Chapter-13 of Volume-I |
| | | Main Report. |
| c | Flood protection cess | Not Applicable |
| d | Crop wise water rates | Yes. Please refer para 13.2.2.1 |
| | | of Chapter-13 of Volume-I |
| | | Main Report. |
| e | Sale of water for village/ city/ | Yes. The rates fixed by |
| | industrial/power /water supply | Maharashtra Water Resources |
| | | Regulatory Authority are |
| | | considered. Please refer para |
| | | 13.2.2.3 of Chapter-13 of |
| | | Volume-I Main Report. |
| 120 | Have these rates been compared with | No Comparison done. The rates |
| | the existing rates at the other projects | fixed by Maharashtra Water |
| | in the State region? | Resources Regulatory Authority |
| | | are considered. |

| 121 | In case the rates are being enhanced, has the concurrence of the concerned | The rates fixed by Maharashtra Water Resources Regulatory |
|------|--|---|
| | department(s) been obtained? | Authority are considered. |
| 122 | Have the organizational set up for the | No, existing set up Govt. of |
| | collection of revenue been indicated? | Maharashtra shall be utilized |
| XXII | B-C RATIO | |
| 123 | Are the allocated costs for the | Unit/Head wise cost is assessed. |
| | following components of the | Use wise cost apportionment is |
| | multipurpose project worked out and | not done. |
| | basis therein furnished? | |
| | (a) Irrigation | No |
| | (b) Power | Not Applicable |
| | (c) Flood Control | Not Applicable |
| | (d) Navigation | Not Applicable |
| | (e) Domestic water supply | No |
| | (f) Industrial water supply | No |
| 124 | Have the various departments of the | The stage has not arisen as of |
| | State/Centre agreed to the sharing of | now. |
| | the above allocated cost? | |
| 125 | Have the crop wise benefits been | Yes; Please refer para 13.2.2.1 |
| | worked out for irrigated and un | of Chapter-13 of Volume-I |
| | irrigated crops being grown before | Main Report. |
| | project in consultation with the | |
| | agriculture department and Statement | |
| | furnished? | |
| 126 | Have the crop wise benefits been | - |
| | worked out for proposed cropping | of Chapter-13 of Volume-I |
| | pattern after the introduction of | Main Report. |
| | irrigation in consultation with the | |
| | agriculture department and Statement | |
| | furnished? | |
| 127 | Is the B.C. ratio of Irrigation Projects | Yes |
| | acceptable or otherwise justified? | |
| | | |

| 128 | Is the B.C. ratio for Flood Control Projects acceptable or otherwise justified? | Not Applicable |
|-------|--|------------------------------|
| 129 | Is the B.C. ratio for power component of the project acceptable or otherwise justified? | Not Applicable |
| 130 | Have the financial and economic return Statements been furnished keeping in view the phasing of development? | Yes |
| 131 | Are the benefits other than those considered in the B.C. ratio and financial return Statement been identified? | of energy generation through |
| 132 | Is the benefit from Gallper land, if proposed, based on lease rates admissible and Statement from concerned Central /State authorities furnished? | _ |
| 133 | Are the benefits from fisheries, horticulture, if proposed, based on lease rates admissible and Statement from concerned Central/State authorities furnished? | of Chapter-13 of Volume-I |
| XXIII | ECOLOGICAL ASPECTS | |
| 134 | (a) Is the area likely to have any of the following environmental and ecological problems due to the altered surface water pattern? If yes whether preventive measures have been discussed? | |
| i | Excessive sedimentation of the reservoir and the upper reaches of the | Not likely |

| | river and its tributaries tailing into reservoir | |
|------|--|---|
| ii | Water logging, salinity/alkalinity | Not likely |
| iii | Quality of surface and ground water | Not likely |
| iv | Groundwater recharge | No. In fact, groundwater table |
| | | will improve in the down- |
| | | stream areas of the proposed |
| | | storages. |
| V | Health hazard-water borne diseases, | Not likely |
| | industrial pollution, etc. | |
| vi | Submergence of important minerals | Not likely as far is canal is |
| | deposits | concerned. Mineral surveys are |
| | | to be carried out for enroute |
| | | storages. |
| vii | Submergence of monuments/ | Not likely as far is canal is |
| | archeological sites | concerned. Archaeological |
| | | surveys are to be done for |
| ::: | Fight and the man and a mark in 1:5- | enroute storages. |
| viii | Fish culture and aquatic life | No. In fact, fisheries production |
| | | is likely to be improved considerably due to the creation |
| | | of forty enroute storages |
| ix | Plant life (flora) | No |
| X | Wild Life | No |
| xi | Migratory birds | Not likely. The same in fact |
| | inigratory or as | will be improved on account of |
| | | creation of storages/ tanks. |
| xii | National Park and sanctuaries | No |
| xiii | Seismicity due to filling of reservoir | Not likely. Medium sized |
| | | storages only are proposed. |
| xiv | Likely change in the regime of the | Not likely |
| | river | |
| XV | Any other | No |
| | (b) Have the environmental and forest | Yet to be obtained. The ToR for |

| | clearance from MoE&F been | the proposed CEIA studies of |
|------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | obtained? If not what is status | the project submitted by WRD, |
| | thereof? | Maharashtra to MoEF&CC are |
| | | to be got approved. |
| XXIV | COLONIES AND BUILDINGS | |
| 135 | Has the planning of the | Yes |
| | colony/building been done keeping in | |
| | view the ultimate use for optimum | |
| | utilization of the investment? | |
| 136 | Has an estimate of the extent of | No |
| | higher cost involved been made and | |
| | details discussed? | |
| 137 | Are the permanent buildings being | Yes. Provision is made as per |
| | constructed required for maintenance | the guidelines for preparation of |
| | of the project only? | DPR of irrigation & |
| | | multipurpose projects-2010 |
| 138 | Can the buildings other than required | Yes. Can be used by Govt. |
| | for maintenance of the project being | departments or other project |
| | constructed be put to some other use | authorities |
| | after the completion of the project by | |
| | the department or any other agencies? | |
| 139 | Have the interested agencies been | No. will be taken up at pre- |
| | consulted in planning of the buildings | construction stage. |
| | to suit their requirements later on? | |
| 140 | Have the proposals for disposal of | Not discussed. |
| | temporary buildings been discussed? | |
| XXV | PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND CO | OOPERATION |
| 141 | Are the possibilities of these been | |
| | discussed in: | |
| | (a) Planning | Yes |
| | (b) Construction | Yes |
| | (c) Improved agricultural practices | Yes |
| 142 | Have public debates about utility of | Not yet. During the CEIA, |
| | projects been held and the response | public hearings will be |

| | thereof outlined in the Report? | conducted |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| XXVI | Soil Conservation | |
| 143 | Is the need for soil conservation | No; will be taken up during the |
| | measures in the catchment of the | CEIA studies of the link project. |
| | project discussed? | |